Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/955,877	SHALABY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patricia A Patten	1654
Il Participants: Status of Application: Allowance		
(1) Patricia A Patten.	(3)	
(2) Atty. Rochelle K. Seide.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2004</u>	Time: approx. 3:30pm EST	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
January Del		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed changing minor informalities in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. Further discussed the submission of the IDS on 1/26/04 and that this IDS did not contain any copies of the non-patented literature documents and therefore the IDS will only be considered in part, that is, only the US patented documents will be considered.